

# Managing Cost and Capacity Data in the Air Force's Air Education and Training Command

The combat capability of the U.S. military is directly affected by the quantity and quality of its trained personnel. In the Air Force, the Air Education and Training Command (AETC) is responsible for recruiting, training, and educating professional airmen. Despite the fact that AETC has the second largest aircraft fleet among the major commands and the largest number of people passing through its gates, it has the smallest budget. Managing costs and maintaining the necessary training capacity is a considerable challenge. AETC must be able to rapidly build up its capacity in response to changing national security needs and to reduce the pipeline when such demands taper off. To meet these goals, AETC managers require access to high-quality cost and capacity data from its bases as well as analytic tools to use such data in decisionmaking. For example, knowing the actual number of spaces available in training facilities helps policymakers gauge the need for additional infrastructure, set recruitment rates, and modify the training curriculum.

**AETC lacks the capability to accurately tap into cost and capacity data at the unit level.** This is especially true in the area of technical training, which prepares enlisted personnel for non-pilot jobs such as aircraft maintenance and air traffic control. Most data needed for informed decisionmaking in AETC exist at the direct training and lower management levels and do not flow up to the strategic management and corporate levels. Moreover, strategic management responsibilities are split among multiple organizations including the Air Staff, HQ AETC, Second Air Force, and the individual wing organizations at each base. As a result, data requests and decisions overlap; and there is confusion about who needs certain data, who should get them, and who should be responsible for manipulating and maintaining them.

RAND Project AIR FORCE (PAF) studied training management systems in the Air Force, other U.S. armed

## Abstract

The Air Education and Training Command relies on cost and capacity data to ensure that it can train the number of professional airmen needed to meet U.S. national security demands. Currently, systemic obstacles prevent these data from flowing to strategic and corporate managers in the most useful way. This PAF study suggests measures to improve the flow and usage of cost and capacity data in the Air Force's technical training programs.

services, and the private sector to identify ways to improve the flow and usage of technical training data within AETC. The Air Force should take the following main steps:

**Consolidate strategic management functions under one organization.** Many of AETC's data flow problems would be resolved if the responsibility for strategic management of technical training were clearly assigned to one organization. This office would clarify who has source data, who has the responsibility to input and process these data, and who needs to receive the aggregated information for decisionmaking.

**Develop methodological tools to analyze cost and capacity data.** PAF has begun to design simulation tools to help AETC examine capacity constraints and to perform economic analysis of technical training policies. Such tools will not only improve strategic decisionmaking in the long run but will help AETC identify the kinds of data it needs in the short run. Tools might include:

- **A technical training schoolhouse model** can help identify constraints and the marginal costs of increasing capacity in schoolhouses. The latest version of the model analyzes variables such as the number of instructors, class size, assigned dormitory capacity, dining-hall capacity, number of classrooms, number and availability of training devices (e.g., simulators), shift policy, weekend policy, and syllabus sequence. The goal is to understand the cost of producing an airman in a particular specialty code and to identify the factors that contribute to this cost.
- **An end-to-end training model** would clarify the effects of policy decisions on various aspects of recruitment and

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE <b>2006</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2006 to 00-00-2006</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Managing Cost and Capacity Data in the Air Force's Air Education and Training Command</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Rand Corporation, Project AIR FORCE (PAF), 1776 Main Street / PO Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA, 90407-2138</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>3</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			

training. Decisions about what takes place in the school-house can affect the cost and capacity of recruitment, basic military training, and on-the-job training. This model would enable decisionmakers to understand these effects and to compare various policy choices.

These proposed measures would complement current Air Force efforts to develop a Decision Support System/Technical Training Management System (ADSS/TTMS) architecture, which tracks information such as scores and student status and will improve AETC's ability to train air-men effectively and efficiently. ■

#### **RAND Research Areas**

The Arts • Child Policy • Civil Justice • Education • Energy and Environment • Health and Health Care • International Affairs • National Security • Population and Aging • Public Safety • Science and Technology • Substance Abuse • Terrorism and Homeland Security • Transportation and Infrastructure • Workforce and Workplace

---

This product is part of the RAND Corporation research brief series. RAND research briefs present policy-oriented summaries of individual published, peer-reviewed documents or of a body of published work. This research brief describes work done for RAND Project AIR FORCE and documented in *Air Education and Training Command Cost and Capacity System: Implications for Organizational and Data Flow Changes*, by Thomas Manacapilli, Bart Bennett, Lionel Galway, and Joshua Weed, MR-1797-AF (available at [http://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph\\_reports/MR1797/](http://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1797/)), 2004, 120 pp., ISBN: 0-8330-3503-7, and *A User's Guide to the Technical Training Schoolhouse Model*, by Thomas Manacapilli and Bart Bennett, TR-378-AF (available at [http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical\\_reports/TR378/](http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical_reports/TR378/)), 2006, 98 pp., ISBN: 978-0-8330-3997-2. The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world. RAND's publications do not necessarily reflect the opinions of its research clients and sponsors. **RAND®** is a registered trademark.

#### **RAND Offices**

Santa Monica, CA • Washington, DC • Pittsburgh, PA • Jackson, MS • Doha, QA • Cambridge, UK

**[www.rand.org](http://www.rand.org)**



# PROJECT AIR FORCE

THE ARTS  
CHILD POLICY  
CIVIL JUSTICE  
EDUCATION  
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT  
HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE  
INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS  
NATIONAL SECURITY  
POPULATION AND AGING  
PUBLIC SAFETY  
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
SUBSTANCE ABUSE  
TERRORISM AND  
HOMELAND SECURITY  
TRANSPORTATION AND  
INFRASTRUCTURE  
WORKFORCE AND WORKPLACE

This PDF document was made available from [www.rand.org](http://www.rand.org) as a public service of the RAND Corporation.

This product is part of the RAND Corporation research brief series. RAND research briefs present policy-oriented summaries of individual published, peer-reviewed documents or of a body of published work.

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world.

---

## Support RAND

[Browse Books & Publications](#)

[Make a charitable contribution](#)

## For More Information

Visit RAND at [www.rand.org](http://www.rand.org)

Explore [RAND Project AIR FORCE](#)

View [document details](#)

## Limited Electronic Distribution Rights

This document and trademark(s) contained herein are protected by law as indicated in a notice appearing later in this work. This electronic representation of RAND intellectual property is provided for non-commercial use only. Permission is required from RAND to reproduce, or reuse in another form, any of our research documents for commercial use.